

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL CHURESE : FILING DATE FIRST NO	MED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO
08/620,482 <u>03/22/96 ROBSON</u>	anagamin and pro- an aproposable the second of the second of the second of the second	D 7:ROBSON-CON
A3M1/0 THOMAS Q HENRY WOODARD EMHARDT NAUGHTON MORIARTY MCNETT 111 MONUMENT CIRCLE SUITE 3700 INDIANAPOLIS IN 46204	&	CINTINS, I ARLUNIT PAPER NUMBER 1308 2 4 E MAILED 06/04/97
Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application		
COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS		
ADVISORY ACTION		
THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:		
a) X is extended to run SMONTHS er-centinues to run	. from:	the date of the final rejection
b) expires three months from the date of the final rejection or a event however, will the statutory period for the response ex	s of the mailing date of this	Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no
Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition of the date on which the response, the petition, and the fee he purposes of determining the period of extension and the control will be calculated from the date of the originally set shows the period of the perio	nder 37 CFR 1.136(a), the ave been filed is the date of responding amount of the	proposed response and the appropriate fee of the response and also the date for the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed 5/19/97 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:		
1. The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:		
a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.		
b. They raise new issues that would require further cons c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note). d. They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal. e. They present additional claims without cancelling a constant. NOTE:	er form for appeal by mater presponding number of fin	ially reducing or simplifying the issues for
the non-allowable claims		d in a separately filed amendment cancelling
3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment with the as follows:	I be entered will not be	entered and the status of the claims will
Claims allowed: Claims objected to: Claims rejected: However; Applicant's response has overcome the following reject	on(s):	
4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been	considered but does not d	overcome the rejection because
5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented.		
☐ The proposed drawing correction ☐ has ☐ has not been a☐ Other	oproved by the examiner.	

Serial Number: 08/620,482

Art Unit: 1308

SUPPLEMENT TO ADVISORY ACTION

The amendment filed May 19, 1997 has <u>not</u> been entered because the proposed limitation that only hydroxyl groups of the lignin in the lignocellulosic material are esterified (claim 25) has not been previously recited, and raises new issues which would require further search and consideration.

Applicants' argument that the Teng patent does not involve the esterification of lignocellulosic material has been noted and carefully considered, but is not deemed to be persuasive of patentability. It is pointed out that Teng '984 contacts a lignocellulosic material such as sawdust (col. 3, line 35) or paper (col. 3, lines 39-40) with acetic anhydride (col. 2, line 61); and this procedure will inherently result in esterification of the lignocellulosic material for the same reason that it occurs in Applicants' process (see page 3, lines 11 and 29 of the specification). Applicants' argument that the presence of perchloric acid catalyst will prevent this reaction from occurring is not supported by any evidence of record; and therefore, appears to be based on mere speculation.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to I. Cintins whose telephone number is (703) 308-3840. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

Serial Number: 08/620,482

Art Unit: 1308

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Stanley Silverman, can be reached on (703) 308-3837.

The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-3602. Wher filing a FAX in Group 1300, please indicate in the Header (upper right) "Official" for papers that are to be entered into the file, and "Unofficial" for draft documents and other communications with the PTO that are not for entry into the file of the application. This will expedite processing of these papers.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0651.

Ivars C. Cintins
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1308

I. Cintins
June 3, 1997